



author Johannes Thumshirn <johannes.thumshirn@wdc.com> 2025-03-17 16:04:01 +0100
committer David Sterba <dsterba@suse.com> 2025-04-17 11:56:19 +0200
commit [b0c26f47992672661340dd6ea931240213016609](#) (patch)
tree [23ef234aa14045dfa58de36f99a12189147adddb](#)
parent [7d82240c457fc15abdf7dedf15104cea774b005b](#) (diff)
download [linux-b0c26f47992672661340dd6ea931240213016609.tar.gz](#)

diff options

context: 3 ▼
space: include ▼
mode: unified ▼

btrfs: zoned: return EIO on RAID1 block group write pointer mismatch

There was a bug report about a NULL pointer dereference in `__btrfs_add_free_space_zoned()` that ultimately happens because a conversion from the default metadata profile DUP to a RAID1 profile on two disks.

The stack trace has the following signature:

```
BTRFS error (device sdc): zoned: write pointer offset mismatch of zones in raid1 profile
BUG: kernel NULL pointer dereference, address: 0000000000000058
#PF: supervisor read access in kernel mode
#PF: error_code(0x0000) - not-present page
PGD 0 P4D 0
Oops: Oops: 0000 [#1] PREEMPT SMP NOPTI
RIP: 0010:__btrfs_add_free_space_zoned.isra.0+0x61/0x1a0
RSP: 0018:ffffa236b6f3f6d0 EFLAGS: 00010246
RAX: 0000000000000000 RBX: ffff96c8132f3400 RCX: 0000000000000001
RDX: 0000000010000000 RSI: 0000000000000000 RDI: ffff96c8132f3410
RBP: 0000000010000000 R08: 0000000000000003 R09: 0000000000000000
R10: 0000000000000000 R11: 00000000ffffffff R12: 0000000000000000
R13: ffff96c758f65a40 R14: 0000000000000001 R15: 000011aac0000000
FS: 00007fdab1cb2900(0000) GS:ffff96e60ca00000(0000) knlGS:0000000000000000
CS: 0010 DS: 0000 ES: 0000 CR0: 0000000080050033
CR2: 0000000000000058 CR3: 00000001a05ae000 CR4: 0000000000350ef0
Call Trace:
<TASK>
? __die_body.cold+0x19/0x27
? page_fault_oops+0x15c/0x2f0
? exc_page_fault+0x7e/0x180
? asm_exc_page_fault+0x26/0x30
? __btrfs_add_free_space_zoned.isra.0+0x61/0x1a0
btrfs_add_free_space_async_trimmed+0x34/0x40
btrfs_add_new_free_space+0x107/0x120
btrfs_make_block_group+0x104/0x2b0
btrfs_create_chunk+0x977/0xf20
btrfs_chunk_alloc+0x174/0x510
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
btrfs_inc_block_group_ro+0x1b1/0x230
btrfs_relocate_block_group+0x9e/0x410
btrfs_relocate_chunk+0x3f/0x130
btrfs_balance+0x8ac/0x12b0
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? __kmalloc_cache_noprof+0x14c/0x3e0
btrfs_ioctl+0x2686/0x2a80
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? ioctl_has_perm.constprop.0.isra.0+0xd2/0x120
__x64_sys_ioctl+0x97/0xc0
do_syscall_64+0x82/0x160
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
```

```

? __memcg_slab_free_hook+0x11a/0x170
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? kmem_cache_free+0x3f0/0x450
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? syscall_exit_to_user_mode+0x10/0x210
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? do_syscall_64+0x8e/0x160
? sysfs_emit+0xaf/0xc0
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? seq_read_iter+0x207/0x460
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? vfs_read+0x29c/0x370
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? syscall_exit_to_user_mode+0x10/0x210
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? do_syscall_64+0x8e/0x160
? srso_return_thunk+0x5/0x5f
? exc_page_fault+0x7e/0x180
entry_SYSCALL_64_after_hwframe+0x76/0x7e
RIP: 0033:0x7fdable0ca6d
RSP: 002b:00007ffeb2b60c80 EFLAGS: 00000246 ORIG_RAX: 0000000000000010
RAX: ffffffffda RBX: 0000000000000003 RCX: 00007fdable0ca6d
RDX: 00007ffeb2b60d80 RSI: 00000000c4009420 RDI: 0000000000000003
RBP: 00007ffeb2b60cd0 R08: 0000000000000000 R09: 0000000000000013
R10: 0000000000000000 R11: 0000000000000246 R12: 0000000000000000
R13: 00007ffeb2b6343b R14: 00007ffeb2b60d80 R15: 0000000000000001
</TASK>
CR2: 0000000000000058
---[ end trace 0000000000000000 ]---
```

The 1st line is the most interesting here:

BTRFS error (device sdc): zoned: write pointer offset mismatch of zones in raid1 profile

When a RAID1 block-group is created and a write pointer mismatch between the disks in the RAID set is detected, btrfs sets the alloc_offset to the length of the block group marking it as full. Afterwards the code expects that a balance operation will evacuate the data in this block-group and repair the problems.

But before this is possible, the new space of this block-group will be accounted in the free space cache. But in __btrfs_add_free_space_zoned() it is being checked if it is a initial creation of a block group and if not a reclaim decision will be made. But the decision if a block-group's free space accounting is done for an initial creation depends on if the size of the added free space is the whole length of the block-group and the allocation offset is 0.

But as btrfs_load_block_group_zone_info() sets the allocation offset to the zone capacity (i.e. marking the block-group as full) this initial decision is not met, and the space_info pointer in the 'struct btrfs_block_group' has not yet been assigned.

Fail creation of the block group and rely on manual user intervention to re-balance the filesystem.

Afterwards the filesystem can be unmounted, mounted in degraded mode and the missing device can be removed after a full balance of the filesystem.

Reported-by: 西木野炭基 <yanqiyu01@gmail.com>

Link: https://lore.kernel.org/linux-btrfs/CAB_b4sBhDe3tscz=duVyhc9hNE+gu=B8CrgL0152uMyanR8BEA@mail.gmail.com/

Fixes: b1934cd60695 ("btrfs: zoned: handle broken write pointer on zones")

Reviewed-by: Anand Jain <anand.jain@oracle.com>

Signed-off-by: Johannes Thumshirn <johannes.thumshirn@wdc.com>

Signed-off-by: David Sterba <dsterba@suse.com>

Diffstat

-rw-r--r-- fs/btrfs/zoned.c 1

1 files changed, 0 insertions, 1 deletions

diff --git a/fs/btrfs/zoned.c b/fs/btrfs/zoned.c

index fb8b8b29c169ca..7c502192cd6bef 100644

--- a/fs/btrfs/zoned.c

+++ b/fs/btrfs/zoned.c

```
@@ -1659,7 +1659,6 @@ int btrfs_load_block_group_zone_info(struct btrfs_block_group *cache, bool new)
    * stripe.
    */
    cache->alloc_offset = cache->zone_capacity;
-   ret = 0;
- }
}
```

out: