



author Eduard Zingerman <eddyz87@gmail.com> 2024-12-09 20:10:55 -0800
committer Alexei Starovoitov <ast@kernel.org> 2024-12-10 10:24:57 -0800
commit [51081a3f25c742da5a659d7fc6fd77ebfdd555be](#) (patch)
tree [c2e6ddf855e44e677db87c7dc23d2263a029b935](#)
parent [b238e187b4a2d3b54d80aec05a9cab6466b79dde](#) (diff)
download [linux-51081a3f25c742da5a659d7fc6fd77ebfdd555be.tar.gz](#)

diff options

context:
space:
mode:

bpf: track changes_pkt_data property for global functions

When processing calls to certain helpers, verifier invalidates all packet pointers in a current state. For example, consider the following program:

```
__attribute__((__noinline__))
long skb_pull_data(struct __sk_buff *sk, __u32 len)
{
    return bpf_skb_pull_data(sk, len);
}

SEC("tc")
int test_invalidate_checks(struct __sk_buff *sk)
{
    int *p = (void *) (long) sk->data;
    if ((void *) (p + 1) > (void *) (long) sk->data_end) return TCX_DROP;
    skb_pull_data(sk, 0);
    *p = 42;
    return TCX_PASS;
}
```

After a call to `bpf_skb_pull_data()` the pointer 'p' can't be used safely. See function `filter.c:bpf_helper_changes_pkt_data()` for a list of such helpers.

At the moment verifier invalidates packet pointers when processing helper function calls, and does not traverse global sub-programs when processing calls to global sub-programs. This means that calls to helpers done from global sub-programs do not invalidate pointers in the caller state. E.g. the program above is unsafe, but is not rejected by verifier.

This commit fixes the omission by computing field `bpf_subprog_info->changes_pkt_data` for each sub-program before main verification pass.

`changes_pkt_data` should be set if:

- subprogram calls helper for which `bpf_helper_changes_pkt_data` returns true;
- subprogram calls a global function, for which `bpf_subprog_info->changes_pkt_data` should be set.

The `verifier.c:check_cfg()` pass is modified to compute this information. The commit relies on depth first instruction traversal done by `check_cfg()` and absence of recursive function calls:

- `check_cfg()` would eventually visit every call to subprogram S in a state when S is fully explored;

- when S is fully explored:
 - every direct helper call within S is explored (and thus changes_pkt_data is set if needed);
 - every call to subprogram S1 called by S was visited with S1 fully explored (and thus S inherits changes_pkt_data from S1).

The downside of such approach is that dead code elimination is not taken into account: if a helper call inside global function is dead because of current configuration, verifier would conservatively assume that the call occurs for the purpose of the changes_pkt_data computation.

Reported-by: Nick Zavaritsky <mejedi@gmail.com>

Closes: <https://lore.kernel.org/bpf/0498CA22-5779-4767-9C0C-A9515CEA711F@gmail.com/>

Signed-off-by: Eduard Zingerman <eddyz87@gmail.com>

Link: <https://lore.kernel.org/r/20241210041100.1898468-4-eddyz87@gmail.com>

Signed-off-by: Alexei Starovoitov <ast@kernel.org>

Diffstat

-rw-r--r--	include/linux/bpf_verifier.h	1
-rw-r--r--	kernel/bpf/verifier.c	32

2 files changed, 32 insertions, 1 deletions

diff --git a/include/linux/bpf_verifier.h b/include/linux/bpf_verifier.h

index f4290c179bee0a..48b7b2eeb7e21f 100644

--- a/include/linux/bpf_verifier.h

+++ b/include/linux/bpf_verifier.h

```
@@ -659,6 +659,7 @@ struct bpf_subprog_info {
    bool args_cached: 1;
    /* true if bpf_fastcall stack region is used by functions that can't be inlined */
    bool keep_fastcall_stack: 1;
+   bool changes_pkt_data: 1;

    enum priv_stack_mode priv_stack_mode;
    u8 arg_cnt;
```

diff --git a/kernel/bpf/verifier.c b/kernel/bpf/verifier.c

index ad3f6d28e8e4ff..6a29b68cebd6f3 100644

--- a/kernel/bpf/verifier.c

+++ b/kernel/bpf/verifier.c

```
@@ -10042,6 +10042,8 @@ static int check_func_call(struct bpf_verifier_env *env, struct bpf_insn *insn,
    verbose(env, "Func#%d ('%s') is global and assumed valid.\n",
              subprog, sub_name);
+   if (env->subprog_info[subprog].changes_pkt_data)
+       clear_all_pkt_pointers(env);
    /* mark global subprog for verifying after main prog */
    subprog_aux(env, subprog)->called = true;
    clear_caller_saved_regs(env, caller->regs);
@@ -16246,6 +16248,29 @@ enforce_retval:
    return 0;
}

+static void mark_subprog_changes_pkt_data(struct bpf_verifier_env *env, int off)
+{
+   struct bpf_subprog_info *subprog;
+
+   subprog = find_containing_subprog(env, off);
+   subprog->changes_pkt_data = true;
+}
+
+/* 't' is an index of a call-site.
+ * 'w' is a callee entry point.
+ * Eventually this function would be called when env->cfg.insn_state[w] == EXPLORED.
+ * Rely on DFS traversal order and absence of recursive calls to guarantee that
```

```

+ * callee's change_pkt_data marks would be correct at that moment.
+ */
+static void merge_callee_effects(struct bpf_verifier_env *env, int t, int w)
+{
+    struct bpf_subprog_info *caller, *callee;
+
+    caller = find_containing_subprog(env, t);
+    callee = find_containing_subprog(env, w);
+    caller->changes_pkt_data |= callee->changes_pkt_data;
+}
+
+/* non-recursive DFS pseudo code
+ * 1 procedure DFS-iterative(G,v):
+ * 2     label v as discovered
@@ -16379,6 +16404,7 @@ static int visit_func_call_insn(int t, struct bpf_insn *insns,
                                bool visit_callee)
+
+{
+    int ret, insn_sz;
+    int w;
+
+    insn_sz = bpf_is_ldimm64(&insns[t]) ? 2 : 1;
+    ret = push_insn(t, t + insn_sz, FALLTHROUGH, env);
@@ -16390,8 +16416,10 @@ static int visit_func_call_insn(int t, struct bpf_insn *insns,
    mark_jump_point(env, t + insn_sz);
+
+    if (visit_callee) {
+        w = t + insns[t].imm + 1;
+        mark_prune_point(env, t);
-        ret = push_insn(t, t + insns[t].imm + 1, BRANCH, env);
+        merge_callee_effects(env, t, w);
+        ret = push_insn(t, w, BRANCH, env);
+    }
+    return ret;
+}
@@ -16708,6 +16736,8 @@ static int visit_insn(int t, struct bpf_verifier_env *env)
    mark_prune_point(env, t);
    mark_jump_point(env, t);
+
+}
+
+if (bpf_helper_call(insn) && bpf_helper_changes_pkt_data(insn->imm))
+    mark_subprog_changes_pkt_data(env, t);
+
+if (insn->src_reg == BPF_PSEUDO_KFUNC_CALL) {
+    struct bpf_kfunc_call_arg_meta meta;

```